Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/922,059	VORENKAMP ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Melvin Curtis Mayes	1734	

All Participants:

(1) *Melvin Curtis Mayes*.

(2) *Jon Beaupre 54,729*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *7 July 2005*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
Claims 1, 11 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Agreed to add the limitation of "multi-stage" as described in the specification for progressively heating to Claims 1, 11 and 35 to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)